

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
OXFORD DIVISION**

MARY L. RINEHART

PLAINTIFF

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:13-cv-237-NBB-JMV

CONAIR CORPORATION

DEFENDANT

And

MARY L. ALLISON and STACY A. LITWA

PLAINTIFFS

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:13-cv-238-NBB-SAA

CONAIR CORPORATION

DEFENDANT

ORDER STAYING CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS

This matter is before the court on Third Party Defendant's, Reaves Firm Incorporated, Motion to Stay and for Entry of a New Case Management Scheduling Order [43]. On May 27, 2014, Reaves filed a Motion to Dismiss combining various defenses, including lack of subject matter jurisdiction [36]. Pursuant to L. U. Civ. R. 16(b)(3)(B), [f]iling... a jurisdictional defense motion stays the attorney conference and disclosure requirements and all discovery not related to the issue pending the court's ruling on the motion" That same day, a Motion to Continue Trial [44] was also filed. Upon due consideration of the motion and the cases' dockets, the court finds those matters delineated in the aforementioned rule should be stayed pending a resolution of the Motion to Continue Trial. The court will revisit the stay and the pertinent case management deadlines once a decision is made on the Motion to Continue Trial.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the aforementioned proceedings are hereby **STAYED** pending a ruling on the Motion to Continue Trial. The parties shall notify the undersigned magistrate judge within forty-eight (48) hours of a decision on that Motion.

SO ORDERED, this the 18th day of June, 2014.

/s/ Jane M. Virden

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE